



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,115	01/07/2004	Timothy D. Hey	DAS-104XCI	8974
23557	7590	07/06/2007	EXAMINER	
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO BOX 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950			KOSSON, ROSANNE	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1652				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/06/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

10/754,115

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20070627

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on June 19, 2007 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s). None of the amended or new claims reads on the elected invention, a composition comprising an effective amount of a *Xenorhabdus* A protein, a *Photorhabdus* B protein and a *Photorhabdus* C protein, in which the A protein is SEQ ID NO: 34. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be *bona fide*, applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosanne Kosson whose telephone number is 571-272-2923. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-6:00, alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on 571-272-0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rosanne Kosson
Examiner, Art Unit 1652

rk/2007-06-27

Rosanne Kosson

Rebecca E. Proudy
REBECCA E. PROUDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1860
160

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/754,115	HEY ET AL.
	Examiner Rosanne Kosson	Art Unit 1652

All Participants:

Status of Application: rejected

(1) Rosanne Kosson. (3) _____

(2) Jay Sanders, Applicants' representatives. (4) _____

Date of Interview: _____

Time: 2 pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

those pending

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Rosanne Kosson

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative was informed that, in the amended claim set filed on 6/19/07, none of the claims read on the elected invention- an insecticidal composition of a homotetramer of an A protein (recited in the claims as an effective amount of an A protein), a B protein and a C protein in which the A protein is SEQ ID NO: 34 (from *Xenorhabdus*), the B protein is one definite and specific protein from a set of *Photorhabdus* B proteins that enhance the insecticidal activity of SEQ ID NO: 34, and the C protein is one definite and specific protein from a set of *Photorhabdus* C proteins that enhance the insecticidal activity of SEQ ID NO: 34. In addition to reciting non-elected sequences, the "wherein" clauses change the meaning of the claims, because they recite that when B is from *Xenorhabdus*, C is from *Photorhabdus* and visa versa. Applicants' representative was advised that he would receive a notice of incomplete response. Applicants' representative will file an amended claim set in response to this notice..